ties for R&D performers that undoubtedly will continue to redefine the R&D enterprise into the future.

Each of these developments creates further challenges in terms of data measurement and indicator improvement. Indeed, there are a number of specific areas of interest that could benefit from expanded data collections and analyses (National Research Council, 2000). Most notably, better information is needed on structural changes in industrial R&D (including research on the nature of R&D in the service sector and obtaining finer detail by industrial classification and geographic location). More extensive data could improve our understanding of the relationship between R&D and innovation to address the manner in which science and technology are transferred among firms and transformed into new processes and products. Fuller investigations and tracking of the apparent increase in the web of partnerships among firms, universities, and Federal agencies and laboratories in conducting R&D are warranted, as is more research on the extent and role of multidisciplinary research in science and engineering. Both of these latter topics, research that involves multiple partners and multiple fields, illustrate directly the growing complexities that characterize the R&D enterprise.

Selected Bibliography

Adams, J.D., E.P. Chiang, and K. Starkey. 2001. "Industry-University Cooperative Research Centers." *Journal of Technology Transfer*, Vol. 26, No. 1/2: 73–86.

American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS) and Centre for Science Research and Statistics (CSRS). 2001. *Comparative Study of National R&D Policy and R&D Data Systems in the United States and Russia* (draft). Washington, DC.

Arora, A., A. Fosfuri, and A. Gambardella. 2000. *Markets for Technology and Their Implications for Corporate Strategy.* Pittsburgh, PA: Carnegie Mellon University, Heinz School of Public Policy and Management. Mimeographed.

Battelle Memorial Institute and the State Science and Technology Institute. 1998. *Survey of State Research and Development Expenditures: FY 1995.* Columbus, OH.

Behrens, T.R., and D.O. Gray. 2001. "Unintended Consequences of Cooperative Research: Impact of Industry Sponsorship on Climate for Academic Freedom and Other Graduate Student Outcome." *Research Policy* 30: 179–199.

Board on Science, Technology, and Economic Policy, National Research Council. 1999. *Securing America's Industrial Strength.* Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

Boesman, W. 1994. *Big Science and Technology Projects: Analysis of 30 Selected U.S. Government Projects.* 94–687 SPR. Washington, DC: Congressional Research Service.

Bozeman, B. 2000. "Technology Transfer and Public Policy: A Review of Research and Theory." *Research Policy* 29: 627–55.

Brod, A, and A.N. Link. 2001. *Trends in Cooperative Research Activity.* In M.P. Feldman and A. Link, eds., *Technology Policy for the Knowledge-Based Economy*. Boston: Kluwer Academic Press.

Brooks, H., and L.P. Randazzese. 1998. "University-Industry Relations: The Next Four Years and Beyond." In L.M. Branscomb and J.H. Keller, eds., *Investing in Innovation: Creating a Research and Innovation Policy That Works.* Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Bush, V. 1945. *Science—The Endless Frontier: A Report to the President on a Program for Postwar Scientific Research.* Reprinted 1990. Washington, DC: National Science Foundation.

Centre for Science Research and Statistics (CSRS). 2001. *Russian Science and Technology at a Glance: 2000.* Moscow.

Cline, L., and G. Gibbs. 1997. *Re-negotiation of the International Space Station Agreements: 1993–97.* Paris: International Astronautical Federation.

Cohen, W.M., R. Florida, L.P. Randazzese, and J. Walsh. 1998. "Industry and the Academy: Uneasy Partners in the Cause of Technological Advance." In R. Noll, ed., *Challenges to Research Universities.* Washington, DC: Brookings Institution Press.

Committee on Science, Engineering, and Public Policy (COSEPUP). 1999. *Evaluating Federal Research Programs: Research and the Government Performance and Results Act.* Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

Cordes, J.J., H. Hertzfeld, and N.S. Vonortas. 1999. *A Survey of High Technology Firms.* Washington, DC: U.S. Small Business Administration.

Council on Competitiveness. 1996. *Endless Frontier, Limited Resources: U.S. R&D Policy for Competitiveness.* Washington, DC.

———. 1998. *The New Challenge to America's Prosperity: Findings From the Innovation Index.* Washington, DC.

Dalton, D.H., M.G. Serapio, and P.G. Yoshida. 1999. *Globalizing Industrial Research and Development.* Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Commerce, Office of Technology Policy.

Davey, M., and R. Rowberg. 2000. *Challenges in Collecting and Reporting Federal Research and Development Data.* Washington, DC: Congressional Research Service.

David, P.A., B.H. Hall, and A.A. Toole. 2000. "Is Public R&D a Complement or Substitute for Private R&D? A Review of the Econometric Evidence." *Research Policy* 29: 497–529.

Eurostat. 2001. *Statistics on Science and Technology in Europe: Data 1985–99.* Luxembourg: European Communities.

Executive Office of the President, Office of Science and Technology Policy, National Science and Technology Council, Committee on Environment and Natural Resources, Subcommittee on Global Change Research. 2001. "Our Changing Planet: The FY2001 U.S. Global Change Research Program: A Supplement to the President's Fiscal Year 2001 Budget." Available at <http://www.usgcrp.gov/>.

Feldman, M.P., I. Feller, J.E.L. Bercovitz, and R.M. Burton. 2001. "Understanding Evolving University-Industry Relationships." In M.P. Feldman and A. Link, eds., *Technology Policy for the Knowledge-Based Economy.* Boston: Kluver Academic Press.

Freeman, C., and L. Soete. 1999. *The Economics of Industrial Innovation.* 3rd ed. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Government of Republic of China. 2000. *Indicators of Science and Technology* (charts).

Hagerdoon, J., A.N. Link, and N.S. Vonortas. 2000. "Research Partnerships." *Research Policy* 29: 567–586.

Hagerdoon, J. 2001. Maastricht Economic Research Institute on Innovation and Technology (MERIT). Cooperative Agreements and Technology Indicators (CATI) Database. Unpublished tabulations. Maastricht, Netherlands.

Hall, B., and J. Van Reenen. 2000. "How Effective Are Fiscal Incentives for R&D? A Review of the Evidence." *Research Policy* 29: 449–469.

Hamilton, D.P., and A. Regaldo. 2001. "In Hot Pursuit of the Proteome." *Wall Street Journal*. April 5, 2001, p. B1.

Himmelberg, C.P., and B.C. Petersen. 1994. "R&D and Internal Finance: A Panel Study of Small Firms in High-Tech Industries." *The Review of Economics and Statistics* 76(1): 38–51.

Industrial Research Institute (IRI). 1999. "Japanese Companies Keep Spending on R&D." *Research Technology Management* 42(2): 5.

International Monetary Fund (IMF). 1999. *International Financial Statistics Yearbook.* Washington, DC.

International Science Policy Foundation (ISPF). 1993. *Outlook on Science Policy* 15(1): 9–62.

Jankowski, J. 1998. "R&D: The Foundation for Innovation. Changes in U.S. Industry." In *Trends in Industrial Innovation: Industry Perspectives and Policy Implications,* pp. 201–211. Research Triangle Park, NC: Sigma Xi, The Scientific Research Society, Inc.

——. 1999. "Trends in Academic Research Spending, Alliances, and Commercialization." *The Journal of Technology Transfer* 24: 55–68.

——. 2001a. "A Brief Data-Informed History of Science and Technology Policy. In M.P. Feldman and A. Link, eds., *Technology Policy for the Knowledge-Based Economy.* Boston: Kluwer Academic Press.

——. 2001b. "Measurement and Growth of R&D Within the Service Economy." *Journal of Technology Transfer* 26 (October): 4: 323-336.

Kaiser, F., A.M. Klemperer, A. Gorrnitzka, E.G. Schrier, B.J.R. van der Meulen, and P.A.M. Maassen. 1999. *Separating Teaching and Research Expenditure in Higher Education.* Overijssel, Netherlands: University of Twente, Center for Higher Education Policy Studies.

Kang, N.H. and S. Johansson. 2000. "Cross-Border Mergers and Acquisitions: Their Role in Industrial Globalisation." Paris: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, Directorate for Science, Technology, and Industry, Working Paper 2000/1.

Kang, N.H. and K. Sakai. 2000. "International Strategic Alliances: Their Role in Industrial Globalisation." Paris: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, Directorate for Science, Technology, and Industry, Working Paper 2000/5.

Lerner, J., and C. Kegler. 2000. "Evaluating the SBIR: A Literature Review." In *The SBIR Program: An Assessment of the Department of Defense Fast Track Initiative*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

Levitt, T. 1975. "Marketing Myopia." *Harvard Business Review* July-August: 45-47.

Link, A. 2001. *Federal Register Filings: The 2000 Update of the CORE Database.* Report submitted to the National Science Foundation, Arlington, VA.

Link, A.N., and N. Vonortas. 2001. "Strategic Research Partnerships: An Overview." In *Strategic Research Partnerships: Proceedings from an NSF Workshop*, NSF 01-336, Project Officers, John E. Jankowski, Albert N. Link, Nicholas S. Vonortas, Arlington, VA: National Science Foundation.

Mataloni, R.J. Jr. 2000. U.S. Multinational Companies—Operations in 1998, *Survey of Current Business,* July: 26–45. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis.

Mowery, D.C. 1998. "The Changing Structure of the U.S. National Innovation System: Implications for International Conflict and Cooperation in R&D Policy." *Research Policy* 27: 639–654.

Mowery, D.C., R. Nelson, B.N. Sampat, and A.A. Ziedonis. 2001. "The Growth of Patenting and Licensing by U.S. Universities: An Assessment of the Effects of the Bayh-Dole Act of 1980." *Research Policy* 30: 99–119.

National Academy of Sciences 1995. *Allocating Federal Funds for Science and Technology*, Washington, D.C.: National Academy Press.

National Research Council. 2000. *Measuring the Science and Engineering Enterprise*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

National Science Board (NSB). 1998. "U.S. and International Research and Development: Funds and Alliances." *Science and Engineering Indicators 1998*, NSB-98-1. Arlington, VA: National Science Foundation.

——. 2000. *Science and Engineering Indicators 2000,* Volume I. NSB-00-1. Arlington, VA: National Science Foundation.

National Science Foundation (NSF). 1996. *Human Resources for Science & Technology: The European Region.* NSF 96-316. By Jean Johnson. Arlington, VA.

——. 1997. "Japan Hopes to Double Its Government Spending on R&D." Issue Brief. June 13. NSF 97-310. Arlington, VA.

——. 1999a. *National Patterns of R&D Resources: 1998.* NSF 99-335. By Steve Payson. Arlington, VA.

——. 1999b. *What Is the State Government Role in the R&D Enterprise?* NSF 99-248. By John E. Jankowski. Arlington, VA.

——. 2001a. *National Patterns of R&D Resources: 2000 Data Update*. NSF 01-309. By Steve Payson. Arlington, VA.

——. 2001b. *Federal Funds for Research and Development: Fiscal Years 1999, 2000, and 2001.* NSF 01-328. Project Officer, Ronald L. Meeks, Arlington, VA.

——. 2001c. *Federal R&D Funding by Budget Function: Fiscal Years 1999–2001*. NSF 01-316. Project Officer, Ronald L. Meeks, Arlington, VA.

——. 2001d. *Nonprofit Sector's R&D Grows Over Past Quarter Century,* Data Brief. NSF 01-318. Project Officer, Mary V. Burke, Arlington, VA.

——. 2001e. *Research and Development in Industry: 1999, Early Release Tables.* Project Officer, Raymond Wolfe, Arlington, VA.

——. 2001f. *Strategic Research Partnerships: Proceedings from an NSF Workshop*. NSF 01-336. Project Officers, John E. Jankowski, Albert N. Link, Nicholas S. Vonortas, Arlington, VA.

——. 2001g. *U.S. Industrial R&D Performers Report Increased R&D in 1999: New Industry Coding and Size Classifications for NSF Survey.* Data Brief. NSF 01-318. By Raymond Wolfe. Arlington, VA.

Nelson, R. 1988. "Modeling the Connections in the Cross Section Between Technical Progress and R&D Intensity." *RAND Journal of Economics* 19(3) (Autumn): 478–485.

——. 1995. "Recent Evolutionary Theorizing About Economic Change." *Journal of Economic Literature* 33(1): 48–90.

——. 2001. "Observation on the Post-Bayh-Dole Rise in University Patenting." In M.P. Feldman and A. Link, eds., *Technology Policy for the Knowledge-Based Economy*. Boston: Kluwer Academic Press.

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). 1994. *The Measurement of Scientific and Technical Activities: Proposed Standard Practice for Surveys of Research and Experimental Development (Frascati Manual)*. Paris.

——. 1996. *Fiscal Measures to Promote R&D and Innovation.* Paris.

——. 1999a. *OECD Science, Technology and Industry Scoreboard 1999: Benchmarking Knowledge-Based Economies.* Paris.

——. 1999b. *Research and Development in Industry: Expenditure and Researchers, Scientists and Engineers 1976–97.* Paris.

——. 2000a. Analytical Business Enterprise Research and Development (ANBERD) database (DSTI/EAS Division). Paris.

——. 2000b. *Basic Science and Technology Statistics: 2000*. Paris. Available on CD-ROM.

——. 2000c. Main Science and Technology Indicators database. Paris.

——. 2000d. *R&D Efforts in China, Israel, and Russia: Some Comparisons With OECD Countries.* CCNM/DSTI/EAS (2000)39. Paris.

——. 2000e. *Science and Technology Main Indicators and Basic Statistics in the Russian Federation 1992–98*. CCNM/DSTI/EAS (2000)69. Paris.

——. 2000f. *Science, Technology and Industry Outlook 2000.* Paris.

——. 2001. *Measuring Expenditure on Health-Related R&D.* Paris.

Quijano, A. 1990. A Guide to BEA Statistics on Foreign Direct Investment in the United States, *Survey of Current Business.* February: 29–37. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis. Available at <http://www.bea.doc.gov/bea/ai/iidguide.htm>.

Pavitt, K., "Sectoral Patterns of Technological Change: Toward a Taxonomy and a Theory." *Research Policy* 13: 343–373.

Payson, S. 2000. *Economics, Science and Technology.* Hants, United Kingdom: Edward Elgar Publishing, Ltd.

Poterba, J., ed. 1997. *Borderline Case: International Tax Policy, Corporate Research and Development, and Investment.* Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

Red Iberomericana de Indicadores de Ciencia y Tecnologia (Iberomerican Network on Science & Technology Indicators) (RICYT). 2001. *Principales Indicadores de Ciencia Y Tenologia 2000.* Buenos Aires, Argentina.

Rogers, E.M., E.G. Carayanis, K. Kurihara, and M.M. Allbritton. 1998. "Cooperative Research and Development Agreements (CRADAs) as Technology Transfer Mechanisms." *R&D Management* 28(2): 79–88.

Rosenberg, N. 1994. *Exploring the Black Box: Technology, Economics, and History*. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Schacht, W.H. 2000. *Technology Transfer: Use of Federally Funded Research and Development*, Issue Brief 85031, Washington, DC: Congressional Research Service.

Shepherd, C., and S. Payson. 2001. *U.S. R&D Corporate R&D.* Washington, DC: National Science Foundation.

Steelman, J.R. 1947. *Science and Public Policy.* Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office. Reprinted 1980. New York: Arno Press.

Stokes, D.E. 1997. *Pasteur's Quadrant: Basic Science and Technological Innovation.* Washington, DC: Brookings Institution.

Tassey, G. 1999. *R&D Trends in the U.S. Economy: Strategies and Policy Implications.* Gaithersburg, MD: U.S. Department of Commerce, Technology Administration, National Institute of Standards and Technology.

U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis. 2000. *Foreign Direct Investment in the United States: Operations of U.S. Affiliates of Foreign Companies,* Preliminary 1998 Estimates. Washington, DC.

U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis. 2000. *U.S. Direct Investment Abroad: Operations of U.S. Parent Companies and Their Foreign Affiliates*, Preliminary 1998 Estimates. Washington, DC.

U.S. Congress, House of Representatives. 1998. "Unlocking Our Future: Toward a New National Science Policy," *A Report to Congress by the House Committee on Science,* September 24, 1998.

U.S. Congress, Office of Technology Assessment (U.S. OTA). 1995. *International Partnerships in Large Science.* Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office.

U.S. Department of Commerce, Technology Administration, Office of Technology Policy (U.S. OTP). 2000. *Tech Transfer 2000: Making Partnerships Work*, Washington, DC.

U.S. Department of the Treasury, Internal Revenue Service (U.S. IRS). 2000. *Statistics of Income - 1997, Corporation Income Tax Returns*, Washington, DC.

——. 2001. Unpublished tabulations. Washington, DC.

U.S. General Accounting Office (U.S. GAO). 1996. *Tax Policy and Administration—Review of Studies of the Effectiveness of the Research Tax Credit.* GAO/GGD-96-43. Washington, DC.

——. 1999a. *Federal Research: Evaluation of Small Business Research Can Be Strengthened.* GAO/RCED-99-114. Washington, DC.

——. 1999b. *Federal Research: Information on International Science and Technology Agreements.* GAO/RCED-99-108. Washington, DC.

——. 2001a. *Research and Development: Reported Gap Between Data From Federal Agencies and Their R&D Performers Results From Noncomparable Data.* GAO-01-512R. Washington, DC.

——. 2001b. *Federal Research and Development: Contributions to and Results of the Small Business Technology Transfer Program.* GAO-01-766R. Washington, DC.

——. 2001c. *Federal Research and Development: Contributions to and Results of the Small Business Technology Transfer Program.* GAO-01-867T. Washington, DC.

U.S. Office of Management and Budget (U.S. OMB). 1997. "Promoting Research." In *Budget of the United States Government: Fiscal Year 1998.* Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office.

——. 1998a. *North American Industry Classification System: United States, 1997.* Lanham, MD: Bernan Press.

——. 1998b. "Promoting Research." In *Budget of the United States Government: Fiscal Year 1999.* Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office.

——. 1999. "Promoting Research." In *Budget of the United States Government: Fiscal Year 2000.* Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office.

——. 2000. *Analytical Perspectives—Budget of the United States Government, Fiscal Year 2001.* Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office.

——. 2001a. *Analytical Perspectives - Budget of the United States Government, Fiscal Year 2002.* Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office.

——. 2001b. *Budget of the United States Government, Fiscal Year 2002.* Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office.

——. 2001c. "Research and Development Funding." In *Budget of the United States Government: Fiscal Year 2002.* Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office.

Utterback, J.M. 1979. "The Dynamics of Product and Process Innovation in Industry." In C.T. Hill and J.M. Utterback, eds., *Technological Innovation for a Dynamic Economy.* New York: Pergamon Press.

Vonortas, N.S. 1997. *Cooperation in Research and Development.* Boston: Kluwer Academic Press.

——. 2001. National Cooperative Research Act-Research Joint Ventures (NCRA-RJV) Database. Unpublished tabulations. Center for International Science and Technology Policy, Elliott School of International Affairs, George Washington University, Washington, DC.

Wagner, C.S., A. Yezril, and S. Hassell. 2001. *International Cooperation in Research and Development, Science and Technology Policy Institute.* Arlington, VA: RAND.

Ward, M. 1985. *Purchasing Power Parities and Real Expenditures in the OECD.* Paris: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.

Wessner, C.W., ed. 2001. *The Advanced Technology Program: Assessing Outcomes,* Board on Science, Technology, and Economics, National Research Council. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

Whang, K.C. 1998. *A Guide to the Research Tax Credit.* Working Paper Series. Washington, DC: U.S. Congress, Joint Economic Minority Committee.